

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests consideration and further examination of the patent application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111. First of all, Applicant and the undersigned wish to express gratitude to the examiner for her courtesy during the Examiner Interview conducted on March 31, 2004 regarding an Office Action in a related application.

Allowable Subject matter

Claims 13 and 32 have been allowed over the prior art of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 14-21, 23-30, 33-36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. In response, the rejected claims have been amended as shown above to correct to typographical errors. Applicant's wished to thank the examiner for pointing them out.

Claim Rejection-Obvious Type Double Patenting


Claims 22 and 31 are rejected under judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over claim 12 of US Patent No. 6,674,396. Submitted herewith is a dully executed terminal disclaimer to obviate this rejection.

In view of the above remarks, the applicant submits that the claims 13-37 meet all patentability criteria. If the Examiner, however believes, for any reasons, that further communication will expedite prosecution of this application the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Applicant believes there are no additional fees associated with this reply other than those indicated. However, if this is incorrect, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees which may be required for this paper to Deposit Account No. 22-0261

Date: 2/22/05

Respectfully submitted,



Robert S. Babayi

Registration No. 33,471

Venable LLP

P.O. Box 34385

Washington, D.C. 20043-9998

Telephone 202-344-4000

Telefax 202-344-8300

::ODMA\PCDOCS\DC2DOCS\1\611629\1